

ESMO Minimum Clinical Recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of cutaneous malignant melanoma

Incidence

- The crude incidence of malignant melanoma in the European Union is 10/100 000 per year. The incidence increases with latitude, i.e. with increasing prevalence of less pigmented skin types, from 3–5/100 000 per year in Mediterranean countries to 12–17 in Nordic countries. The mortality is 2.4/100 000 per year with a lesser variation with geography. Increased ultraviolet-B ray exposure seems responsible for an ongoing increase in incidence over recent decades.

Diagnosis

- Suspicious lesions are characterized by asymmetry, border irregularities, color heterogeneity, diameter >6 mm, and recent evolution of color, elevation or size ('ABCDE rule').
- Diagnosis should always be based on a full thickness excisional biopsy with a recommended margin of 2 mm of normal skin around the lesion. Processing by an experienced pathology institute is mandatory.
- The histology report should follow the WHO classification and include maximum thickness in millimeters (Breslow), level of invasion (Clark levels I–V), clearance of the surgical margins, presence of ulceration, and presence and extent of regression.

Staging and risk assessment

- Physical examination with special attention to tumor satellites, in-transit metastases, regional lymph node and systemic metastases [V, D].
- To exclude metastatic disease, chest X-ray, blood count, LDH, and alkaline phosphatase are recommended [V, D].
- Sonography of the abdomen and regional lymph nodes is recommended only in patients with melanoma of >1 mm thickness or suspicious clinical findings. Further radiological tests only as clinically indicated [V, D]. PET-scanning is not useful for initial staging of clinically localized melanoma [III, B].
- Risk assessment according to the sixth edition of the AJCC staging system (2002) may guide therapeutic decisions and is based on Breslow levels of the primary tumor and the presence of ulceration and of locoregional or systemic metastases, as shown in Table 1.

Treatment for localized disease

- Wide excision of primary tumors with a normal skin margin of 0.5 cm for *in situ* melanoma, of 1 cm for tumors with a Breslow thickness of 1–2 mm and 2–3 cm for thicker

tumors is mandatory [II–III, A]. Modifications may be needed for preservation of function in melanomas of the fingers and toes or those of the ear.

- Routine elective lymphadenectomy or irradiation to the regional lymph nodes are not recommended [II, B].
- Sentinel lymph node biopsy with selective complete clearance of regional lymph nodes, if the sentinel node was found positive, may be useful but should be performed only by skilled teams in experienced centers.
- There is no standard adjuvant therapy to date for patients with high-risk melanoma. Adjuvant immunotherapy with high-dose interferon results in a significant prolongation only of disease-free survival but not overall survival. This result has to be balanced against the toxicity of this treatment [III]. Adjuvant chemotherapies and hormone therapies have still not proven to be beneficial. Adjuvant immunotherapy with other cytokines including interleukin-2, tumor vaccination, and immunochemotherapy are controversial [III] and not to be used outside of protocols.
- Radiotherapy should be considered in case of inadequate resection margins of primary when re-excision is not feasible, such as in head and neck melanoma.

Treatment for locoregional metastatic disease

- Complete resection of positive regional lymph nodes must be conducted for all patients tolerating surgery [II–III, C].
- In-transit metastases or inoperable primary tumors of the limbs may be treated with isolated limb perfusion using e.g. melphalan and tumor necrosis factor [II–III, C]. However, such treatment requires major surgery and should be restricted to a few experienced centers. Radiation therapy may be used instead [V, D].
- Adjuvant systemic therapy after complete resection as mentioned above. There is no standard adjuvant therapy.

Treatment for systemic metastatic disease

- There is no proof that systemic treatment results in a significant prolongation of survival. Palliative chemotherapy with single agents (e.g. dacarbazine, vindesine, temozolomide) may be given to patients with preserved performance status [II, C], otherwise best supportive care should be considered. Until now, combination chemo- or chemo-/immunotherapy has not been proven consistently superior to dacarbazine in phase III trials.

Table 1. Risk assessment using the AJCC staging system

AJCC	TNM Stage	10 yr survival	Criteria for staging
I A	T1a No M0	87.9 %	T1a = Breslow \leq 1 mm, no ulceration (U-) and Clark level \leq III
I B	T1b No M0	83.1 %	T1b = Breslow \leq 1 mm with ulceration (U+) or Clark level \geq IV
	T2a No M0	79.2 %	T2a = Breslow 1.01 – 2.0 mm U-
II A	T2b / T3a N0 M0	64.4 / 63.8 %	T2b = Breslow 1.01–2.0 mm, U+ / T3=2.01 – 4.0 mm U-
II B	T3b / T4a N0 M0	53.9 / 50.8 %	T3b = Breslow 2.01–4.0 mm U+ / T4= > 4.0 mm U-
II C	T4b N0 M0	32.3 %	T4b = Breslow > 4.0 mm U+
III A	Any Ta N1a / N2a M0	63.0 / 56.9 %	U-, N1a = 1 lymph node microscopically + / N2=2–3 nodes
III B	Any Tb N1a / N2a M0	47.7 / 35.9 %	U+, N1a = 1 lymph node microscopically + / N2=2–3 nodes
III C	Any Tb N1b / N2b M0	24.4 / 15.0 %	U+, N1b = 1 lymph node macroscopically + / N2=2–3 nodes
	Any T N3 M0	18.4 %	U- or U+, N3 = \geq 4 nodes, satellite or in transit metastases
IV	Any T any N M1a	15.7 %	M1a = nodal metastases with normal LDH distant skin, subcutaneous metastases with normal LDH
	Any T any N M1b	2.5 %	M1b = lung metastases with normal LDH
	Any T any N M1c	6.0 %	M1c = LDH elevated and/or any non-pulmonary visceral metastases

Percentage figures are median values for disease-specific survival with a standard deviation between 1 and 7%.

- Surgery of visceral metastases may be appropriate for selected cases with good performance status and isolated tumor manifestation.
- Palliative radiotherapy should be considered especially for symptomatic brain or localized bone metastases.

Follow-up for localized or locoregional disease

- There is currently no consensus on the frequency of follow-up and recommendations for surveillance testing. There is insufficient data to recommend regular blood tests, radiological examinations including ultrasound or PET scanning outside of adjuvant treatment or follow-up protocols in patients able and willing to undergo experimental therapy. The following recommendations were judged adequate for most patients by the experts and ESMO faculty:
- Patients with sporadic or familial dysplastic naevus syndrome have a high risk and should be followed for life. Sunburns during childhood and unprotected ultraviolet exposure (solar or artificial UV-B rays) are additional risk factors.
- Follow-up for 5 years for localized melanoma of \leq 1.5 mm Breslow thickness and for 10 years for others is deemed sufficient despite the rare occurrence of later relapses.
- History, physical examination including regional lymph nodes, skin inspection and palpation of primary tumor location every 3 months for 2 years and every 6–12 months thereafter are recommended.
- The patient should be instructed in avoidance of sun burns, extended unprotected solar or artificial ultraviolet exposure and in lifelong regular self-examination of the skin and peripheral lymph nodes.

Note

Levels of Evidence [I–V] and Grades of Recommendation [A–D] as used by the American Society of Clinical Oncology are given in square brackets. Statements without grading were considered justified standard clinical practice by the expert authors and the ESMO faculty.

Literature

1. National Institutes of Health Consensus Conference. Diagnosis and treatment of early melanoma. JAMA 1992; 288: 1314–1319.
2. Wagner JD, Schauwecker DS, Davidson D et al. Prospective study of FDG-PET imaging of lymph node basins in melanoma patients undergoing sentinel node biopsy. J Clin Oncol 1999; 17: 1508–1515.
3. AJCC (American Joint Committee on Cancer) Cancer Staging Handbook: TNM Classification of Malignant Tumors, sixth edition. New York: Springer-Verlag 2002.
4. Balch CM, Soong SJ, Smith T et al. Long-term results of a prospective surgical trial comparing 2 cm vs. 4 cm excision margins for 740 patients with 1–4 mm melanomas. Ann Surg Oncol 2001; 8: 101–108.
5. Thomas JM, Newton-Bishop J et al. Excision margins in high-risk malignant melanoma. N Engl J Med 2004; 350: 757–766.
6. Cascinelli N, Morabito A, Santinami M et al. Immediate or delayed dissection of regional nodes in patients with melanoma of the trunk: A randomised trial. WHO Melanoma Programme. Lancet 1998; 351: 793–796.
7. Agarwala SS, Neuberger D, Park Y, Kirkwood JM. Mature results of a phase III randomized trial of bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) versus observation and BCG plus dacarbazine versus BCG in the adjuvant therapy of American Joint Committee on Cancer Stage I-III melanoma (E1673): a trial of the Eastern Oncology Group. Cancer 2004; 100: 1692–1698.
8. Kirkwood JM, Strawderman MH, Ernstoff MS et al. Interferon- α -2b adjuvant therapy of high-risk resected cutaneous melanoma: The

- Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Trial EST-1684. *J Clin Oncol* 1996; 14: 7–17.
9. Hancock BW, Wheatley K, Harris S et al. Adjuvant interferon in high-risk melanoma: the AIM HIGH Study-United Kingdom Coordinating Committee on Cancer Research randomized study of adjuvant low-dose extended-duration interferon Alfa-2a in high-risk resected malignant melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; 22: 53–61.
 10. Trask PC, Paterson AG, Esper P, Pau J, Redman B. Longitudinal course of depression, fatigue, and quality of life in patients with high risk melanoma receiving adjuvant interferon. *Psychooncology* 2004; 13: 526–536.
 11. Lienard D, Eggermont AM, Koops HS et al. Isolated limb perfusion with tumor necrosis factor-alpha and melphalan with or without interferon-gamma for the treatment of in-transit melanoma metastases: a multicentre randomized phase II study. *Melanoma Res* 1999; 9: 491–502.
 12. Middleton MR, Grob JJ, Aaronson N et al. Randomized phase III study of temozolomide versus dacarbazine in the treatment of patients with advanced metastatic malignant melanoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2000; 18: 158–166.
 13. Slingluff CL Jr, Petroni GR, Yamshchikov GV et al. Immunologic and clinical outcomes of vaccination with a multiepitope melanoma peptide vaccine plus low-dose interleukin-2 administered either concurrently or on a delayed schedule. *J Clin Oncol* 2004; 22: 4474–4485.
 14. Shumate CR, Urist MM, Maddoy WA. Melanoma recurrence surveillance. Patient or physician based? *Ann Surg*. 1995; 221: 566–571.

Coordinating authors for the ESMO Guidelines Task Force: L. M. Jost¹, S. Jelic² & G. Purkalne³

¹Invited author and member of the task force, Oncology, Kantonsspital, CH-4101 Bruderholz/BL, Switzerland; ²Assigned task force member, Institute of Oncology and Radiology of Serbia, Department of Medical Oncology, Pasterova 14, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia; ³Assigned task force member, P. Stradins University Hospital, Radiation & Chemotherapy Center, Pilsonu Str. 13, 1002 Riga, Latvia

Approved by the ESMO Guidelines Task Force: August 2002, last update December 2004.

Correspondence to:
 ESMO Guidelines Task Force
 ESMO Head Office
 Via La Santa 7
 CH-6962 Lugano
 Switzerland